PROSPECTS IN PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

Prospects in Pharmaceutical Sciences, 23(2), 35-41 https://prospects.wum.edu.pl/

Original Article

AN EXAMINATION OF THE ANTIOXIDANT AND ANTIBACTERIAL CAPABILITIES, AS WELL AS THE PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS, OF SILENE MACRODONTA AND SILENE CHAETODONTA

Hayrunnisa Elbozan¹, Nuh Korkmaz^{*1}

¹ Department of Biology, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Osmaniye Korkut Ata University, 80000, Osmaniye, Türkiye.

* Correspondence, e-mail: korkmazhun@gmail.com

Received: 15.10.2024 / Accepted: 17.02.2025 / Published: 29.04.2025

ABSTRACT

In this study, *Silene macrodonta* Boiss. and *S. chaetodonta* Boiss. plant species belonging to the *Caryophyllaceae* family growing in the Gaziantep region were examined in terms of antioxidant, antimicrobial and phenolic compounds. Total antioxidant status (TAS), total oxidant status (TOS) and oxidative stress index (OSI) values of *S. macrodonta* and *S. chaetodonta* Boiss. plant species were determined using Rel Assay kits. It was determined that the TAS value of *S. macrodonta* was higher with 5.983±0.156, the TOS value of *S. chaetodonta* was higher with 15.686±0.188, and the OSI value of *S. chaetodonta* was higher with 0.341±0.010. Within the scope of this study, the antimicrobial activity of plant species was examined by the Agar dilution method, and it was determined that *S. chaetodonta* showed higher antimicrobial activity than *S. macrodonta*. Phenolic contents of plants were examined using LC-MS/MS device. For some of the studies, it was determined that *S. macrodonta* and *S. chaetodonta* plants have high biological activity.

KEYWORDS: Silene macrodonta, Silene chaetodonta, antioxidant, antimicrobial, phenolic compounds

Article is published under the CC BY license.

1. Introduction

It is known that throughout the ages, various plants have been used by humans as a source of food and also as medicine, and the benefits obtained from these applications are supported by many scientific studies. Primary and secondary metabolites, antioxidants and phenolic compounds of plants have been proven to have a beneficial role in increasing body resistance [1,2]. It is also known that the majority of active ingredients used in medicines are obtained from plants. Therefore, plants are natural resources used in the treatment of many diseases [3]. The term medicinal plants include a variety of plant species, many of which have medicinal activity. Plants have many uses, including as food and medicine, as well as sweeteners, beverages, dyes, fragrances, and cosmetics [4,5]. Considering today's health problems and the use of extracts obtained from various parts of plants in the treatment of diseases, our biological wealth is important [6,7]. In addition to the contribution to the literature, this study will also contribute to the natural methods used in disease treatment in recent years.

Plants belonging to the *Silene* genus are given different names locally in Anatolia. The *Silene* genus, one of the largest members of the *Caryophyllaceae* family, is an annual, biennial, herbaceous or evergreen perennial plant [8]. Their seeds are generally kidney-shaped and can be of different shapes. *Silene* plants prefer sandy, humus, clayey and moist soils. They grow easily in sunny areas and fertile soils [9].

For this purpose, in this study, S. *macrodonta* and S. *chaetodonta* species growing naturally in Turkey were examined in terms of antioxidant, antimicrobial and phenolic compounds. In this context, the antioxidant potentials of methanol and dichloromethane extracts of

plant samples were determined and their status as natural sources was determined.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Collecting plants and taking their extracts

The plant samples used in the study were collected from the province of Gaziantep/Türkiye. The soil and muddy dirty parts of the plants obtained as a result of field studies were cleaned with distilled water and dried in suitable conditions and in the open air. The plant samples dried in the open air were crushed with a grinding machine and turned into powder. The powdered plant samples were subjected to extraction with methanol (MeOH) and dichloromethane (DCM) in a Soxhlet apparatus. The samples were cartridged as 30 g. Then, the extracts were concentrated under pressure with a rotary evaporator and stored at +4 °C until the experiments were carried out.

2.2. Determination of antimicrobial activities of plants

Antimicrobial activity determinations of methanol and dichloromethane extracts of plants were tested using the agar dilution method according to the guidelines by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) and the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST). The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of each extract was determined by testing a series of dilutions against standard bacterial and fungal strains.

Bacteria obtained from the American culture collection, for Gram positives, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213, Staphylococcus aureus MRSA ATCC 43300, Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212, for Gram negatives, Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, Acinetobacter baumannii ATCC 19606 were used. For fungi; Candida albicans ATCC 10231, Candida krusei ATCC 34135, Candida glabrata ATCC 90030, were used. Bacterial strains were pre-cultured in Muller Hinton Broth medium, and fungal strains were pre-cultured in RPMI 1640 Broth medium. The turbidity of bacteria and fungi was prepared according to the McFarland 0.5 scale and a standard inoculum was obtained. All dilutions were done with distilled water and all extracts were tested at concentrations of 800-12.5 µg/mL. The solvents used for the extracts were tested only for antimicrobial activity [10-13].

2.3. Determination of total antioxidant levels (TAS)

Total antioxidant levels of the samples were determined using the Rel Assay Diagnostics-TAS Assay Kit. The kit contains Reagent 1 (Buffer), Reagent 2 (Colored ABTS Radical Solution), Standard 1 (1.00 mmol Trolex Equiv./L) and Standard 2 (1.00 mmol Trolex Equiv./L). In this context, 200 μ l of Reagent 1 was added to the wells on the ELISA plate and 12 μ l of plant extract was added on it. The first absorbance measurement was made at 660 nm (the first absorbance of the sample) and 30 μ l of Reagent 2 was added and incubated for 5 min at 37 °C. After the incubation process, the second absorbance was read at 660 nm. The same measurement procedures were carried out for standard 1 and standard 2 in the kit. The procedures were repeated separately for all plant extracts [14].

2.4. Determination of total oxidant levels (TOS)

Rel Assay Diagnostics-TOS Assay Kit was used to determine the total oxidant levels of the samples. The kit contains Reagent 1 (Assay buffer), Reagent 2 (Prochromogen solution), Standard 1 (Blank solution: distilled water) and Standard 2 (stock stabilized standard solution (SSSS): 800 mM H₂O₂ Equiv./L). Standard 2 was diluted 40 times with distilled water. For this dilution, first 5 µL of Standard 2 was put into an Eppendorf tube and 1 mL of distilled water was added and then vortexed. Then, 5 μ L of the prepared solution was put into an Eppendorf tube and 1 mL of water was added and a 20 $\mu M~H_2O_2$ solution was prepared. This solution was prepared again with the same procedures each time. Then, 200 µL of Reagent 1 was first placed in the well on the ELISA plate and 30 µL of sample was added. The first absorbance was read at 530 nm (the first absorbance). After the measurement process, 10 µL of Reagent 2 was added. Then, it was kept at 37 °C for 5minutes and the second absorbance was read at 530 nm. The same procedures were repeated for standard 2. The processes were repeated separately for all plant samples [15]. In addition, after determining the TAS and TOS values of the plant samples, the oxidative stress index (OSI), which shows the percentage of the plants' balancing of the oxidant compounds produced in their structures by environmental factors with antioxidant compounds, was calculated [16].

2.5. Determination of phenolic contents

The phenolic composition of plants extracts was analyzed using an LC-MS/MS system. A total of 24 standard compounds were screened during the analysis. The separation process was conducted on a C-18 Intersil ODS-4 analytical column (3.0 mm × 100 mm, 2 μ m) maintained at 40 °C. The mobile phase consisted of 0.1% formic acid in water (Phase A) and 0.1% formic acid in methanol (Phase B). The analysis was performed with a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min, and the injection volume for each sample was set at 2 μ L [17].

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Antimicrobial activity findings of plants

Today, microorganisms are among the main factors of many diseases [18]. People use antimicrobial drugs against these microorganisms [19]. However, in recent years, there has been an increase in the number of resistant microorganisms due to unconscious use of antibiotics [20]. In this context, researchers have turned to the discovery of new antimicrobial drugs [21]. In our study, the antimicrobial activities of methanol and dichloromethane extracts of *S. macrodonta* and *S. chaetodonta* plants were determined. The findings obtained at the end of the study are presented in Table 1.

In the antimicrobial activity studies, the effects of plant extracts on 9 microorganisms were tested. As a result of the study, it was determined that plant extracts had effects on microorganisms at concentrations of 100-400 μ g/mL. As a result of the antimicrobial activity tests, *S. chaetodonta* extracts showed higher antimicrobial activity than *S. macrodonta*. It was determined that the methanol extract of *S. macrodonta* showed higher antimicrobial activity against *S. aureus*, *S. aureus* MRSA,

	Extracts	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	J
Silene macrodonta	MeOH	100	100	200	200	400	200	100	100	100
	DCM	200	200	200	400	400	200	200	200	100
Silene chaetodonta	MeOH	100	100	100	200	200	100	200	100	200
Siteme chaetodonita	DCM	100	100	200	200	200	200	200	200	200

Table 1	. Antimicrobial	activity of	plants	findings.
---------	-----------------	-------------	--------	-----------

*(A) S. aureus, (B) S. aureus MRSA, (C) E. faecalis, (D) E. coli, (E) P. aeruginosa, (F) A. baumannii, (G) C. glabrata, (H) C. albicans, (J) C. krusei *400, 200, 100 µg/ml extract concentrations.

E. coli, C. glabrata, C. albicans than the dichloromethane extract. In the study, methanol and dichloromethane extracts of *S. macrodonta* were used and it was determined that the tested microorganisms were effective at concentrations of 100-400 μ g/mL. MeOH and DCM extracts of *S. chaetodonta* were used and it was determined that they were effective at concentrations of 100-200 μ g/ml on the tested microorganisms. It was found that methanol extract of *S. chaetodonta* showed higher antimicrobial activity on *E. faecalis, A. baumannii, C. albicans* microorganisms than dichloromethane extract. No study on the antimicrobial activity of *S. macrodonta* and *S. chaetodonta* was found in previous studies.

In studies on different Silene species, it has been reported that the acetone extract of Silene gallical, Silene succulent and Silene apetala is effective against Staphylococcus aureus, Serratia marcescens, Acinetobacter boumannii, Klebsella sp., Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus niger, Cladosporium cladosporioides, and Alternaria alternata [22]. In a different study, it was reported that S. alba, S. conoidea, S. dichotoma, S. italica, S. supina and S. vulgaris were effective against Aspergillus versicolor, Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus ochraceus, Aspergillus niger, Penicillium ochrochloron, Penicillium funiculosum, Penicillium verrucosum and Trichoderma viride [23]. In this context, in our study, it was determined that S. macrodonta and S. chaetodonta plants also have antimicrobial activities and can be a natural antimicrobial source against the tested microorganisms.

3.2. TAS, TOS and OSI values of plants

Free radicals are oxidant compounds produced by environmental effects in living organisms [24]. While low levels of these compounds can be easily tolerated, high levels can cause serious damage. The antioxidant defence system functions to suppress oxidant compounds [25]. However, in some cases, the antioxidant defence system is insufficient and oxidative stress occurs [26]. As a result of oxidative stress, serious diseases such as cancer, diabetes, cardiological disorders, and neurodegenerative diseases can be observed [27,28]. Supplemental antioxidants can be used to prevent these possible consequences of oxidative stress. Plants are natural products with high potential as supplemental antioxidants. In our study, the antioxidant potential of *S. macrodonta* and *S. chaetodonta* was determined. The findings are shown in Table 2.

Table 2.	TAS.	TOS	and	OSI	values	of	plant	samr	oles.
Tuble 2.	,	105	anu	05	values	U,	plant	Junip	ncs.

	TAS (mmol/L)	TOS (µmol/L)	OSI (TOS/(TAS*10))
Silene macrodonta	5.983±0.156	13.672±0.241	0.229±0.005
Silene chaetodonta	4.613±0.183	15.686±0.188	0.341±0.010

TAS, TOS and OSI values of S. *macrodonta* and S. *chaetodonta* have not been reported in the literature before. It was determined for the first time in our study. However, antioxidant potentials of different *Silene* species have been reported using different methods [29-31].

According to the data obtained as a result of the study, it was determined that the TAS value of S. macrodonta (5.983±0.156) was higher than of S. chaetodonta (4.613±0.183). TAS value is an indicator of the whole of antioxidant compounds produced in natural products [32]. TAS, TOS and OSI values of different plant species have been reported in the literature. In this context, the TAS values of Ferulago platycarpa, Rumex scutatus, Helianthemum salicifolium, Alcea kurdica and Dittrichia graveolens were reported as 5.688, 8.656, 9.490, 3.298 and 6.93 mmol/L, respectively. TOS values were reported as 15.552, 4.951, 14.839, 8.312 and 12.53 µmol/L, respectively. OSI values were reported as 0.273, 0.057, 0.157, 0.252 and 0.18, respectively [33-37]. Compared to these studies, the TAS value of Silene macrodonta used in our study was determined to be higher than Ferulago platycarpa and Alcea kurdica, and lower than Rumex scutatus, Helianthemum salicifolium, and Dittrichia graveolens. The TAS value of Silene chaetodonta used in our study was determined to be higher than of Alcea kurdica, and lower than TAS of Ferulago platycarpa, Rumex scutatus, Helianthemum salicifolium, and Dittrichia graveolens. In this context, it was observed that both Silene species used in our study had antioxidant potential.

The TOS value is an indicator of the totality of oxidant compounds produced in natural products [32]. Of the plant samples used in the study, the TOS value of *S. chaetodonta* (15.686±0.188) was found to be higher than of *Silene* macrodonta. The TOS value of *Silene macrodonta* used in our study was determined to be lower than of *Ferulago* platycarpa and Helianthemum salicifolium and higher than Rumex scutatus, Alcea kurdica and Dittrichia graveolens. The TOS value of *Silene chaetodonta* used in our study was found to be higher than this of *Ferulago* platycarpa, Rumex scutatus, Helianthemum salicifolium, Alcea kurdica and Dittrichia graveolens. In this context, it was determined that both *Silene* species produced high levels of oxidant compounds.

When the OSI values, which show how much plants suppress the oxidant compounds produced as a result of environmental and structural effects, were examined, it was determined that *S. chaetodonta* (0.341±0.010) was higher than *S. macrodonta* (0.229±0.005). It was observed that the OSI value of *Silene macrodonta* used in our study was lower than that of *Ferulago platycarpa* and *Alcea kurdica*, and higher than for *Rumex scutatus*, *Helianthemum*

salicifolium and Dittrichia graveolens. It was determined that Silene chaetodonta used in our study had higher OSI values than Ferulago platycarpa, Rumex scutatus, Helianthemum salicifolium, Alcea kurdica and Dittrichia graveolens. In this context, it was determined that both Silene species used in our study had high oxidative stress index but they had antioxidant potential.

3.3. Phenolic compounds of plants results

Plants synthesize different bioactive compounds from their bodies. These bioactive compounds are not nutritional but are medically important compounds [38]. In our study, phenolic compounds found in *S. macrodonta* and *S. chaetodonta* were determined. In this context, their presence in the plant body was investigated using 26 standards in the LC-MS device. The findings are shown in Table 3.

Table 3.	Phenolic	results of	plants	(mg/kg).
----------	----------	------------	--------	----------

Acetohydroxamic Acid 22.09277 21.02428 Catechin 2.93871 1.28155 Vanillic Acid 63.80844 42.38556 Syringic Acid 63.01141 63.00583 Thymoquinone Resveratrol 21.37435 26.86655 Fumaric Acid 57.54169 52.85805 Gallic Acid 120.17844 116.04689 Caffeic Acid 25.22394 30.94562 Hydoxycinamic Acid 3.3337 25.05602 Hydroxybenzoic Acid 4.53163 3.85523 Protocatechuic Acid Salicylic Acid 3.87326 4.04783 Oleuropein Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone Myricetin 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.67157 3.55054 S		Silene macrodonta (mg/kg)	Silene chaetodonta (mg/kg)
Vanillic Acid 63.80844 42.38556 Syringic Acid 63.01141 63.00583 Thymoquinone Resveratrol 21.37435 26.86655 Fumaric Acid 57.54169 52.85805 Gallic Acid 120.17844 116.04689 Caffeic Acid 25.22394 30.94562 Hydoxycinamic Acid 3.33337 25.05602 Hydroxybenzoic Acid 4.53163 3.85523 Protocatechuic Acid Salicylic Acid 3.87326 4.04783 Oleuropein Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone Myricetin 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol	Acetohydroxamic Acid	22.09277	21.02428
Syringic Acid 63.01141 63.00583 Thymoquinone Resveratrol 21.37435 26.86655 Fumaric Acid 57.54169 52.85805 Gallic Acid 120.17844 116.04689 Caffeic Acid 25.22394 30.94562 Hydoxycinamic Acid 3.3337 25.05602 Hydroxybenzoic Acid 4.53163 3.85523 Protocatechuic Acid Salicylic Acid 3.87326 4.04783 Oleuropein Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone Myricetin 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.	Catechin	2.93871	1.28155
Thymoquinone Resveratrol 21.37435 26.86655 Fumaric Acid 57.54169 52.85805 Gallic Acid 120.17844 116.04689 Caffeic Acid 25.22394 30.94562 Hydoxycinamic Acid 3.3337 25.05602 Hydroxybenzoic Acid 4.53163 3.85523 Protocatechuic Acid Salicylic Acid 3.87326 4.04783 Oleuropein Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392	Vanillic Acid	63.80844	42.38556
Resveratrol 21.37435 26.86655 Fumaric Acid 57.54169 52.85805 Gallic Acid 120.17844 116.04689 Caffeic Acid 25.22394 30.94562 Hydoxycinamic Acid 3.33337 25.05602 Hydroxybenzoic Acid 4.53163 3.85523 Protocatechuic Acid Salicylic Acid 3.87326 4.04783 Oleuropein Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone Myricetin 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Syringic Acid	63.01141	63.00583
Fumaric Acid 57.54169 52.85805 Gallic Acid 120.17844 116.04689 Caffeic Acid 25.22394 30.94562 Hydoxycinamic Acid 3.33337 25.05602 Hydroxybenzoic Acid 4.53163 3.85523 Protocatechuic Acid Salicylic Acid 3.87326 4.04783 Oleuropein Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392	Thymoquinone		
Gallic Acid 120.17844 116.04689 Caffeic Acid 25.22394 30.94562 Hydoxycinamic Acid 3.33337 25.05602 Hydroxybenzoic Acid 4.53163 3.85523 Protocatechuic Acid Salicylic Acid 3.87326 4.04783 Oleuropein Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone Myricetin 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.07157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Resveratrol	21.37435	26.86655
Caffeic Acid 25.22394 30.94562 Hydoxycinamic Acid 3.33337 25.05602 Hydroxybenzoic Acid 4.53163 3.85523 Protocatechuic Acid Salicylic Acid 3.87326 4.04783 Oleuropein Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Fumaric Acid	57.54169	52.85805
Hydoxycinamic Acid 3.33337 25.05602 Hydroxybenzoic Acid 4.53163 3.85523 Protocatechuic Acid Salicylic Acid 3.87326 4.04783 Oleuropein Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone Myricetin 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Gallic Acid	120.17844	116.04689
Hydroxybenzoic Acid 4.53163 3.85523 Protocatechuic Acid Salicylic Acid 3.87326 4.04783 Oleuropein Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Caffeic Acid	25.22394	30.94562
Protocatechuic Acid Salicylic Acid 3.87326 4.04783 Oleuropein Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone Myricetin 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Hydoxycinamic Acid	3.33337	25.05602
Salicylic Acid 3.87326 4.04783 Oleuropein Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone Myricetin 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Hydroxybenzoic Acid	4.53163	3.85523
Oleuropein Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone Myricetin 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Protocatechuic Acid		
Phloridzin 4.87329 4.31575 2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone Myricetin 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392	Salicylic Acid	3.87326	4.04783
2-Hydroxy-1,4- naphthoquinone Myricetin 5.72354 10.35108 Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Oleuropein		
naphthoquinone Image: Constraint of the second	Phloridzin	4.87329	4.31575
Ellagic Acid 90.401241 19.000829 Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392			
Quercetin 16.54219 40.69954 Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Myricetin	5.72354	10.35108
Butein 2.6828 4.25537 Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Ellagic Acid	90.401241	19.000829
Naringenin 2.67157 3.55054 Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Quercetin	16.54219	40.69954
Silibinin Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Butein	2.6828	4.25537
Luteolin 2.99182 6.70606 Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Naringenin	2.67157	3.55054
Kaempferol 3.15183 2.59392 Alizarin	Silibinin		
Alizarin	Luteolin	2.99182	6.70606
	Kaempferol	3.15183	2.59392
Curmin	Alizarin		
	Curmin		

As a result of the study, thymoquinone, protocatechuic acid, oleuropein, 2-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone, silibinin, alizarin and curmin were not determined among the standards used. In addition, it was determined that the phenolic compound present in the highest concentration was gallic acid (120.17844 and 116.04689 mg/kg, respectively) in both *S. macrodonta* and *S. chaetodonta*. Acetohydroxamic

acid has been reported to have antioxidant, cytotoxic and antibacterial activities in the literature [39]. Catechin has been reported to have antioxidant, antimicrobial, anticancer, antidiabetic activities [40]. Vanillic acid has been reported to have hepatoprotective, DNA protective, cytotoxic, antiproliferative and antioxidant activities [41]. Syringic acid has been reported to have hepatoprotective, antimicrobial, antioxidant activities [42]. Resveratrol has been reported to have antioxidant, anticancer, antimicrobial activities [43]. Fumaric acid has been reported to have anti-inflammatory, analgesic, antibacterial, antitumor, antiproliferative activities [44]. Gallic acid has been reported to have anti-inflammatory, anticancer, antioxidant, neuroprotective, antimicrobial activities [45]. Caffeic acid has been reported to have antioxidant, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial activities [46]. Hydoxycinamic acid has been reported to have antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer activities [47]. Hydroxybenzoic acid has been anticancer, reported to have antiatherogenic, antiproliferative, and antioxidant activities [48]. Salicylic acid has been reported to have anticancer, antioxidant, and antifungal activities [49]. Phloridzin has been reported to have antioxidant activity [50]. Myricetin has been reported to have antioxidant, anticancer, antiinflammatory, and analgesic activities [51]. Ellagic acid has been reported to have antitumor, antimetastatic, antiangiogenic, antioxidant and antifungal activities [52]. Quercetin has been reported to have antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, anticancer activities [53]. Butein has been reported to have anticancer, antiinflammatory, antioxidant activities [54]. Naringenin has been reported to have immunoregulatory, antioxidant, antiallergic activities [55]. Luteolin has been reported to have anti-inflammatory, antiviral, antibacterial and antioxidant activities [56]. Kaempferol has been reported to have antitumor, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial activities [57]. In this context, the results obtained in the current study have determined that S. macrodonta and S. chaetodonta may be a natural source due to the compounds determined in them.

4. Conclusions

In the study, antioxidant, antimicrobial activities and phenolic compounds of S. macrodonta and S. chaetodonta plants were determined. The data obtained as a result of the study show that the plant samples have antioxidant potential and can be used as a natural source. Antimicrobial activity research has determined that both species have antimicrobial potential against microorganisms, but S. chaetodonta has higher antimicrobial activity than S. macrodonta. In addition, examination of phenolic compound content shows that these two species can be used as their natural source.

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization, N.K. and H.E.; methodology, N.K and H.E.; validation, N.K and H.E.; investigation, N.K and H.E.; resources, N.K and H.E.; data curation, N.K and H.E.; writing—original draft preparation, N.K and H.E.; writing review and editing, N.K and H.E.; visualization, N.K and H.E.; supervision, N.K and H.E.; project administration, N.K.; funding acquisition, N.K and H.E. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Osmaniye Korkut Ata University Scientific Research Projects Unit, OKÜBAP-2022-PT2-022.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Dastan, S.D. Chemical and functional composition and biological activities of Anatolian *Hypericum scabrum* L. plant. J. Mol. Struct. 2023, 1275, Art. No: 134561. DOI: 10.1016/j.molstruc.2022.134561
- Mohammed, F. S.; Pehlivan, M.; Sevindik, E.; Akgul, H.; Sevindik, M.; Bozgeyik, I.; Yumrutas, O. Pharmacological properties of edible *Asparagus acutifolius* and *Asparagus* officinalis collected from North Iraq and Turkey (Hatay). Acta Aliment. 2021, 50(1), 136-143. DOI: 10.1556/ 066.2020.00204
- Uysal, I.; Koçer, O.; Mohammed, F. S.; Lekesiz, Ö.; Doğan, M.; Şabik, A. E.; Sevindik, E.; Gerçeker, F.Ö.; Sevindik, M. Pharmacological and Nutritional Properties: Genus Salvia. *Adv. Pharmacol. Pharm.*, **2023**, *11*(2), 140-155. DOI: 10.13189/app.2023.110206
- Djeridane, A.; Yousfi, M.; Nadjemi, B.; Boutassouna, D.; Stocker, P.; Vidal, N. Antioxidant activity of some Algerian medicinal plants extracts containing phenolic compounds. *Food Chem.* 2006, *97*, 654-660. DOI: 10.1016/ j.foodchem.2005.04.028
- Mohammed, F. S.; Uysal, I.; Sevindik, M. A review on antiviral plants effective against different virus types. *Prospects Pharm. Sci.*, 2023, 21(2), 1-21. DOI: 10.56782/ pps.128
- Sevindik, M.; Mohammed, F.S.; Uysal, I. Autism: plants with neuro-psycho pharmacotherapeutic potential. *Prospect. Pharm. Sci.* 2023, 21(3), 38-48. DOI: 10.56782/ pps.143
- El-Chaghaby, G.A.; Mohammed, F. S.; Rashad, S.; Uysal, I.; Koçer, O.; Lekesiz, Ö., FDoğan M.; Şabik A. E; Sevindik, M. Genus Hypericum: General Properties, Chemical Contents and Biological Activities. *Egypt. J. Bot.* 2024, 64(1), 1-26. DOI: 10.21608/ejbo.2023.217116.2378
- 8. Cullen, J.; Coode, M.J.E. Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands, Volume 2 (P.H. Davis, Ed.; Vol. 2). Edinburgh University Press. **1967**.
- Watson, A.J.; Bakker, D.C.E.; Ridgwell, A.J.; Boyd, P.W.; Law, C.S. Effect of iron supply on Southern Ocean CO₂ uptake and implications for glacial atmospheric CO₂. *Nature* 2000, 407(6805), 730-733. DOI: 10.1038/35037561
- Bauer, A.W.; Kirby, W.M.; Sherris, J.C.; Turck, M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 1966, 45, 493-96.
- Hindler, J.; Hochstein, L.; Howell, A. Preparation of routine media and reagents used in antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Part 1. McFarland standards, p. 5.19.1-5.19.6. In H. D. Isenberg (ed) *Clinical microbiology* procedures handbook, vol. 1. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C, **1992**.

- Matuschek, E.; Brown, D.F.; Kahlmeter, G. Development of the EUCAST disk diffusion antimicrobial susceptibility testing method and its implementation in routine microbiology laboratories. *Clin. Microbiol.* Infect., 2014, 20, 255-266. DOI: 10.1111/1469-0691.12373
- Baba, H.; Sevindik, M.; Dogan, M.; Akgül, H. Antioxidant, antimicrobial activities and heavy metal contents of some Myxomycetes. *Fresenius Environ. Bull.* 2020, 29(09), 7840-7846.
- Erel, O. A novel automated direct measurement method for total antioxidant capacity using a new generation, more stable ABTS radical cation. *Clin. Biochem.* 2004, 37(4), 277-285. DOI: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2003.11.015
- Erel, O. A new automated colorimetric method for measuring total oxidant status. *Clin. Biochem.* 2005, *38*(12), 1103-1111. DOI: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2005. 08.008
- Sevindik, M.; Gürgen, A.; Khassanov, V.T.; Bal, C. Biological Activities of Ethanol Extracts of *Hericium erinaceus* Obtained as a Result of Optimization Analysis. *Foods* 2024, *13*(10), Art. No: 1560. DOI: 10.3390/ foods13101560
- Caponio, F.; Alloggio, V.; Gomes, T. Phenolic Compounds of Virgin Olive Oil: Influence of Paste Preparation Techniques. *Food Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 203-209. DOI: 10.1016/S0308-8146(98)00146-0
- Sevindik, M.; Bal, C.; Eraslan, E. C.; Uysal, I.; Mohammed, F. S. Medicinal mushrooms: a comprehensive study on their antiviral potential. *Prospects Pharm. Sci.* 2023, 21(2), 42-56. DOI: 10.56782/pps.141
- Bal, C.; Eraslan, E. C.; Sevindik, M. Antioxidant, antimicrobial activities, total phenolic and element contents of wild edible mushroom *Bovista nigrescens*. *Prospect. Pharm. Sci*.2023, 21(2), 37-41. DOI: 10.56782/ pps.139
- Saridogan, B.G.O.; Islek, C.; Baba, H.; Akata, I.; Sevindik, M. Antioxidant antimicrobial oxidant and elements contents of *Xylaria polymorpha* and *X. hypoxylon* (Xylariaceae). *Fresenius Environ. Bull.* 2021, 30(5), 5400-5404.
- 21. Islek, C.; Saridogan, B.G.O.; Sevindik, M.; Akata, I. Biological activities and heavy metal contents of some Pholiota species. *Fresenius Environ. Bull.* **2021**, *30*(6), 6109-6114.
- Elagaily, M.; Senussi, N.A. Assessment of the antimicrobial activity of three Silene species (Caryophyllaceae) against some microorganisms. *Scientific Journal for Faculty of Science*-Sirte University. 2023, 3(1), 115-121. DOI: 10.37375/sjfssu.v3i1.1089
- Zengin, G.; Mahomoodally, M.F.; Aktumsek, A.; Ceylan, R.; Uysal, S.; Mocan, A.; Yilmaz, M.A.; Allain, C.M.N.P.; Ćirić, A.; Glamočlija, J.; Soković, M. Functional constituents of six wild edible Silene species: A focus on their phytochemical profiles and bioactive properties. *Food Biosci.* 2018, 23, 75-82. DOI: 10.1016/j.fbio. 2018.03.010
- 24. Mushtaq, W.; Baba, H.; Akata, I.; Sevindik, M. Antioxidant potential and element contents of wild

edible mushroom *Suillus granulatus*. *KSU J. Agric*. *Nat*. **2020**, 23(3), 592-595. DOI: 10.18016/ksutarimdoga.vi.653241

- Sevindik, M. Anticancer, antimicrobial, antioxidant and DNA protective potential of mushroom *Leucopaxillus gentianeus* (Quél.) Kotl. *Indian J. Exp.* Biol., 2021, 59(05), 310-315. DOI: 10.56042/ijeb.v59i05.50501
- Çömlekçioğlu, N.; Korkmaz, N.; Yüzbaşıoğlu, M.A.; Uysal,
 İ.; Sevindik, M. Mistletoe (*Loranthus europaeus* Jacq.): antioxidant, antimicrobial and anticholinesterase activities. *Prospect. Pharm. Sci.* 2024, 22(3), 164-169. DOI: 10.56782/pps.213
- 27. Eraslan, E.C.; Altuntas, D.; Baba, H.; Bal, C.; Akgül, H.; Akata, I.; Sevindik, M. Some biological activities and element contents of ethanol extract of wild edible mushroom *Morchella esculenta*. *Sigma J. Eng. Nat. Sci.* 2021, 39(1), 24-28.
- Korkmaz, N.; Koçer, O.; Fathi, S.; Uysal, I.; Sevindik, M. Branched horsetail (*Equisetum ramosissimum*): some biological activities and total phenolic and flavonoid contents. *Prospect. Pharm. Sci.* 2024, 22(3), 69-75. DOI: 10.56782/pps.209
- Golea, L.; Benkhaled, M.; Lavaud, C.; Long, C.; Haba, H. Phytochemical components and biological activities of *Silene arenarioides* Desf. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 2017, *31*(23), 2801-2805. DOI: 10.1080/14786419.2017.1294174
- Mouffouk, C.; Mouffouk, S.; Dekkiche, S.; Hambaba, L.; Mouffouk, S. Antioxidant and Antibacterial Activities of the species *Silene inflata* Sm. Biological activities of S. *inflata*. Biol Res. 2019, 4(2), 74-86.
- Bechkri, S.; Magid, A.A.; Khalfallah, A.; Voutquenne-Nazabadioko, L.; Kabouche, A.; Sayagh, C.; Harakat, D.; Kabouche, Z. Antioxidant activity-guided isolation of flavonoids from *Silene gallica* aerial parts. *Phytochem. Lett.* 2022, *50*, 61-66. DOI: 10.1016/j.phytol.2022.05.002
- Gürgen, A.; Unal, O.; Sevindik, M. Biological Activities of the Golden Chantarelle Mushroom *Cantharellus cibarius* (Agaricomycetes) Extracts Obtained as a Result of Single and Multi-Objective Optimization Studies. *Int. J. Med. Mushrooms* 2024, 26(12), 63-74. DOI: 10.1615/ IntJMedMushrooms.2024055569
- Mohammed, F. S.; Günal, S.; Pehlivan, M.; Doğan, M.; Sevindik, M.; Akgül, H. Phenolic content, antioxidant and antimicrobial potential of endemic *Ferulago platycarpa*. *Gazi Univ. J. Sci.* 2020, 33(4), 670-677. DOI: 10.35378/ gujs.707555
- 34. Mohammed, F. S.; Kına, E.; Sevindik, M.; Doğan, M.; Pehlivan, M. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of ethanol extract of Helianthemum salicifolium (Cistaceae). Indian Journal of Natural Products and Resources (IJNPR) [Formerly Natural Product Radiance (NPR)]. 2021, 12(3), 459-462.
- Mohammed, F.S.; Sevindik, M.; Uysal, I.; Sevindik, E.; Akgül, H. A Natural Material for Suppressing the Effects of Oxidative Stress: Biological Activities of Alcea kurdica. Biol. Bull. 2022, 49(Suppl 2), S59-S66. DOI: 10.1134/S1062359022140102
- Unal, O.; Eraslan, E.C.; Uysal, I.; Mohammed, F.S.; Sevindik, M.; Akgul, H. Biological activities and phenolic

contents of *Rumex scutatus* collected from Turkey. *Fresenius Environ. Bull.* **2022**, *31*(7), 7341-7346.

- Korkmaz, N.; Mohammed, F.S.; Uysal, İ.; Sevindik, M. Antioxidant, antimicrobial and anticholinesterase activity of *Dittrichia graveolens*. *Prospect. Pharm. Sci.* 2023, 21(4), 48-53. DOI: 10.56782/pps.169
- Mohammed, F. S.; Sevindik, M.; Uysal, İ.; Çesko, C.; Koraqi, H. (2024). Chemical Composition, Biological Activities, Uses, Nutritional and Mineral Contents of Cumin (*Cuminum cyminum*). *Measurement: Food* 2024, 14, Art. No: 100157. DOI: 10.1016/j.meafoo.2024. 100157
- Aksenov, D.A.; Aksenov, A.V.; Prityko, L.A.; Aksenov, N.A.; Frolova, L.V.; Rubin, M. Methylation of 2-Aryl-2-(3indolyl) acetohydroxamic Acids and Evaluation of Cytotoxic Activity of the Products. *Molbank*. 2021, 2022(1), M1307. DOI: 10.3390/M1307
- 40. Liu, J.; Lu, J.F.; Kan, J.; Wen, X.Y.; Jin, C.H. Synthesis, characterization and in vitro anti-diabetic activity of catechin grafted inulin. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2014**, *64*, 76-83. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2013.11.028
- Almeida, I.V.; Cavalcante, F.; Vicentini, V. Different responses of vanillic acid, a phenolic compound, in htc cells: Cytotoxicity, antiproliferative activity, and protection from DNA-induced damage. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 2016, 15(4). DOI: 10.4238/gmr15049388
- Vo, Q.V.; Bay, M.V.; Nam, P.C.; Quang, D.T.; Flavel, M.; Hoa, N.T.; Mechler, A. Theoretical and experimental studies of the antioxidant and antinitrosant activity of syringic acid. J. Org. Chem. 2020, 85(23), 15514-15520. DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.0c02258
- Paulo, L.; Ferreira, S.; Gallardo, E.; Queiroz, J.A.; Domingues, F. Antimicrobial activity and effects of resveratrol on human pathogenic bacteria. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2010, 26, 1533-1538. DOI: 10.1007/s11274-010-0325-7
- 44. Kaur, G.; Shivanandappa, T.B.; Kumar, M.; Kushwah, A.S. Fumaric acid protect the cadmium-induced hepatotoxicity in rats: owing to its antioxidant, antiinflammatory action and aid in recast the liver function. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmacol.* **2020**, *393*, 1911-1920. DOI: 10.1007/s00210-020-01900-7
- Şabik, A.E.; Mohammed, F.S.; Sevindik, M.; Uysal, I.; Bal, C. Gallic Acid: Derivatives and Biosynthesis, Pharmacological and Therapeutic Effect, Biological Activity. Bulletin of University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca. *Food Sci. Technol.* 2024, *81*(1), 18-27. DOI: 10.15835/buasvmcn-fst:2023. 0017
- 46. Wang, G.F.; Shi, L.P.; Ren, Y.D.; Liu, Q.F.; Liu, H.F.; Zhang, R.J.; Li, Z.; Zhu, F.H.; He, P.L.; Tang, W.; Tao, P.Z.; Li, C.; Zhao, W.M.; Zuo, J.P. Anti-hepatitis B virus activity of chlorogenic acid, quinic acid and caffeic acid in vivo and in vitro. *Antiviral Res.* 2009, *83*(2), 186-190. DOI: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2009.05.002
- Razzaghi-Asl, N.; Garrido, J.; Khazraei, H.; Borges, F.; Firuzi, O. Antioxidant properties of hydroxycinnamic acids: a review of structure-activity relationships. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2013, 20(36), 4436-4450. DOI: 10.2174/ 09298673113209990141

- 48. Yen, G.C.; Chen, C.S.; Chang, W.T.; Wu, M.F.; Cheng, F.T.; Shiau, D.K., Hsu, C.L. Antioxidant activity and anticancer effect of ethanolic and aqueous extracts of the roots of *Ficus beecheyana* and their phenolic components. *J. Food Drug Anal.* 2018, 26(1), 182-192. DOI: 10.1016/ j.jfda.2017.02.002
- Ausina, P.; Branco, J.R.; Demaria, T.M.; Esteves, A.M.; Leandro, J.G.B.; Ochioni, A.C.; Mendonça, A.P.M.; Palhano, F.L.; Oliveira, M.F.; Abou-Kheir, W.; Sola-Penna, M.; Zancan, P. Acetylsalicylic acid and salicylic acid present anticancer properties against melanoma by promoting nitric oxide-dependent endoplasmic reticulum stress and apoptosis. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, *10*(1), Art. No: 19617. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-76824-6
- Kavaz Yüksel, A.; Dikici, E.; Yüksel, M.; Işık, M.; Tozoğlu, F.; Köksal, E. Phytochemical, phenolic profile, antioxidant, anticholinergic and antibacterial properties of *Epilobium angustifolium* (Onagraceae). J. Food Meas. Charact. 2021, 15, 4858-4867. DOI: 10.1007/s11694-021-01050-1
- Sun, F.; Zheng, X.Y.; Ye, J.; Wu, T.T.; Wang, J.L.; Chen, W. Potential anticancer activity of myricetin in human T24 bladder cancer cells both in vitro and in vivo. *Nutr. Cancer* 2012, 64(4), 599-606. DOI: 10.1080/01635581.2012. 665564
- Ceci, C.; Lacal, P. M.; Tentori, L.; De Martino, M.G.; Miano, R.; Graziani, G. Experimental evidence of the antitumor, antimetastatic and antiangiogenic activity of ellagic acid. *Nutrients* 2018, *10*(11), Art. No: 1756. DOI: 10.3390/nu10111756
- Nguyen, T.L.A.; Bhattacharya, D. Antimicrobial activity of quercetin: an approach to its mechanistic principle. *Molecules* 2022, 27(8), Art. No: 2494. DOI: 10.3390/ molecules27082494
- Sung, J.; Lee, J. Anti-inflammatory activity of butein and luteolin through suppression of NFκ B activation and induction of heme oxygenase-1. J. Med. Food. 2015, 18(5), 557-564. DOI: 10.1089/jmf.2014.3262
- 55. Zeng, W.; Jin, L.; Zhang, F.; Zhang, C.; Liang, W. Naringenin as a potential immunomodulator in therapeutics. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2018, 135, 122-126. DOI: 10.1016/j.phrs.2018.08.002
- Aziz, N.; Kim, M.Y.; Cho, J.Y. Anti-inflammatory effects of luteolin: A review of in vitro, in vivo, and in silico studies. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2018, 225, 342-358. DOI: 10.1016/j.jep.2018.05.019
- Wang, J.; Fang, X.; Ge, L.; Cao, F.; Zhao, L.; Wang, Z.; Xiao, W. Antitumor, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities of kaempferol and its corresponding glycosides and the enzymatic preparation of kaempferol. *PloS one*. **2018**, *13*(5), Art. No: e0197563. DOI: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0197563